

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/002,080	STEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gladys JP Corcoran	1733	

All Participants:

(1) Gladys JP Corcoran.

(2) Joseph J. Jochman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
None

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SEE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner contacted Applicant to propose an Examiner's Amendment to provide antecedent basis for the added limitation of "without nipping contact" in the Specification. This newly added limitation is not considered to be new matter as it is clear from the original Specification and the original Drawings that the generator roll does not provide nipping contact. Applicant agreed to the changes.